Preparation of the data base was made possible in part by the financial support of the
National Institute of Chiropractic Research
2950 North Seventh Street, Suite 200, Phoenix AZ 85014 USA
(602) 224-0296; www.nicr.org

Chronology of *Robert B. Jackson, D.C., N.D.*

word count: 2,786
filename: Jackson CHRONO 01/06/22

Joseph C. Keating, Jr., Ph.D.
6135 N. Central Avenue, Phoenix AZ 85012 USA
(602) 264-3182; JCKeating@aol.com

Color Code:
Red & Magenta: questionable or uncertain information
Green: for emphasis

Table: Faculty and administrators of the California Chiropractic College of Oakland during 1949-50

Faculty Member	Alma Mater	Administrative and Instructional Duties
Robert O. McClintock, D.C., Ph.C., M.C., D.S.C.	National College of Chiropractic, 1926	President; instructor in chiropractic theory and practice, neurology, osteology, pathology, diagnosis
Carl F. Schumacher, D.C., N.D.	California Chiropractic College, 1945	Instructor in physical diagnosis, pathology, laboratory diagnosis
Alton E. Glazier, D.C., N.D.	California Chiropractic College, 1942	Clinic master; instructor in physiotherapy, x-ray
H. Arvis Talley, D.C.	National College of Chiropractic, 1942	Instructor in chiropractic theory and practice, psychiatry
Glen W. Stoops, D.C.	California Chiropractic College, 1950	Instructor in anatomy
W. Franklin Morris, D.C., Ph.D.	Berkeley Chiropractic College, 1925	Instructor in physiotherapy, syphilology, urology, nutritional therapy
Mary J. Reed, B.S.	student instructor	Student instructor in inorganic and organic chemistry, biochemistry
Robert B. Jackson	student instructor	Student instructor in anatomy, neurology, physiology, hygiene, sanitation

Year/Volume Index to the *Journal of the National Chiropractic Association* (1949-1963), formerly *National Chiropractic Journal* (1939-1948), formerly *The Chiropractic Journal* (1933-1938), formerly *Journal of the International Chiropractic Congress* (1931-1932) and *Journal of the National Chiropractic Association* (1930-1932):

Year	Vol.	Year	Vol.	Year	Vol.	Year	Vol.
		1941	10	1951	21	1961	31
		1942	11	1952	22	1962	32
1933	1	1943	12	1953	23	1963	33
1934	3	1944	14	1954	24		
1935	4	1945	15	1955	25		
1936	5	1946	16	1956	26		
1937	6	1947	17	1957	27		
1938	7	1948	18	1958	28		
1939	8	1949	19	1959	29		
1940	9	1950	20	1960	30		

1949 (Aug): ICA's ***International Review of Chiropractic*** [4(2)] notes:

-R.O. McClintock, dean of the **California Chiropractic College** (**CCC**) is "well known in California as a cerebrologist and neurologist" and that "dissection will be added next semester to implement the anatomy course" at **CCC** (p. 34)

1950 (Mar 25-26): Lee H. Norcross, dean of the LACC graduate school, reports on visit to SFCC and CCC (Report, 1950):

...Dr. Stump and I visited the San Francisco College and the California College at Oakland.

We were cordially received by Dr. McClintock and Mrs. Russell and there was much of interest to report. May I report particularly as concerns the Graduate School. Dr. McClintock spoke with much enthusiasm of our Graduate School and its program including having one Statewide educational institution. He declared that classes should be held in a College not a hotel and then offered his facilities emphasizing no charge or commitment.

In San Francisco Mrs. Russell in a more quiet way made the same offer “The Graduate School is welcome to our classroom and clinic facilities.” Mrs. Russell especially offered one of her anatomical specimens and the use of the dissection room for our Graduate School use.

May I make clear that both Dr. McClintock and Mrs. Russell voluntarily and unsolicited made the above offers and comments on our Graduate School as both Dr. Stump and I acting as your representatives looked and listened, and therefore made no reply other than a courteous acknowledgement.

At the Coordinating Council Sunday afternoon we were asked “have we explore the advantages of holding Graduate School classes in the two northern Colleges?” My answer on the floor

was "That was on Dr. Stump's and the speaker's agenda." Sunday evening in the main floor (library) of the Leamington I listened to many discussions regarding holding classes in the colleges. It seemed to be known to everyone excepting (us) that College facilities would be offered at this time. We could hold X-ray, Psychiatry, Iridiagnosis and General lectures at McClintock's, his clinical space is very small, inadequate for any teaching class. Patients would be required to walk three flights, no elevator service Saturday afternoon or Sunday. At San Francisco, clinic space adequate, dissection room facilities would permit a Graduate level class. Elevator service available as the College occupies the entire building.

Among conversations I listened to regarding Bay are extension classes I feel certain that holding the classes at the colleges would stimulate class attendance and would afford facilities for actual clinical demonstration and practical work. It is my belief that it would add favorably on future professional relationship. Reflecting a remark between Drs. Coquet and Hightower that classes suitable to the best available facilities be held at either the San Francisco College or the Oakland College. Further qualifying that this would allay professional political factionalism.

1950 (Oct): ***Journal of the NCA*** [20(10)] includes:
-article by Dr. Carl F. Schumacher of the **California Chiropractic College** in Oakland, entitled "Interpretation of Malignancy in Light of the Unitarian or Trophoblastic Thesis" (p. 11-13, 60, 62)

1950 (Dec 20): **Robert Boyd Jackson** receives DC degree from **CCC** of Oakland; **RO McClintock** DC, DSC is president and dean, Ethel G. Christensen DC is secretary, Alton E. Glazier DC is "Chief of Clinic" (Jackson's DC and internship diplomas)

Minutes of the annual meeting of the NCA Council on Education, Detroit, July 22-27, 1951

h. Dr. Percy also stated that it was the intent of the C.C.A. to sponsor two campuses for the Los Angeles College of Chiropractic. One in the present Glendale site and another in Oakland, namely the McClintock college. Dr. Percy advised the group that the Oakland College had obtained new quarters of much more spacious and qualified nature and that definite concise steps had been initiated to convert this college into the northern campus of the L.A. College and to put it on a corporate rather than privately owned basis.

1952 (Mar 7): letter from Paul O. Parr, D.C., President of the **NAACSC** to R.O. McClintock, D.C., President of the **California Chiropractic College** at 370 43rd St, Oakland 9, California (Cleveland papers, CCC/KC):

Dear Dr. McClintock:

I had upon my return correspondence from you dated February 29th. I am very glad to hear from you regarding your favorable sentiments toward our deliberations.

You will no doubt be happy to hear that we formed the North American Association of Chiropractic Schools and Colleges, the press release for which is herewith enclosed for your information. I should like to personally, as a member, and officially, as President of the new Association, invite you to write me a letter applying to be considered for membership.

I personally assure you that we are not forming for the purpose of combat, debate or argument with any other organization, but only to further those points upon which we agree for the mutual advancement of our profession and our institutions, and urge you to join us in these laudable endeavors.

I feel quite sure that if you submit a letter of request to be considered a member, we can circulate the request among the members and obtain full consent of membership. I am sure it will enthuse those of us who are already members to have you join us and I feel quite sure that your counsel and advice would be of great aid to us. It is altogether possible that this strength, prestige and support can be of considerable moral and material aid to us.

Sorry to hear of the illness of your Secretary and more deeply sorry that your institution could not be represented at the conference. There were other institutions that were similarly prevented from attending that we have hopes will associate themselves as I am inviting you to do. Sincerely,...

1952 (Apr 1): letter on Carver College stationery from Paul O. Parr, D.C., president, at 521 Northwest Ninth St., Oklahoma City (Cleveland papers, CCC/KC, attached to Carl Jr.'s letter to Vinton Logan dated 6/20/52):

TO ALL MEMBERS OF THE NORTH AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF CHIROPRACTIC SCHOOLS AND COLLEGES:

I would like to draw your attention to the following items:

(1) I have not heard from most of you regarding the date I suggested in my last letter for the next meeting. This should be attended to as soon as possible so the date can be set and the reservations made at the hotel.

(2) The North American Assocaition of Chiropractic Schools and Colleges has been chartered under the laws of Oklahoma as a non-profit corporation for educational and scientific purposes. Work is going forward int he office of the Secretary to have copyright processes on the name.

(3) I have had brought to my attention by one of the member schools an application blank for listing in the College Blue JBook. It is suggested by this school that you note that the International Chiropractors Association and the North American Association of Chiropractic Schools and Colleges have not been listed in the key to abbreviations to be used. It has been suggested that an asterisk be put in this column on the form and that other appropriate material be substituted at the bottom. Example: International Chiropractors Association and/or member of North American Association of Chiropractic Schools and Colleges. It is suggested that a listing in the Blue Book would be of some prestige and will no doubt serve to introduce to them the fact that we have a North American Association of Chiropractic Schools and Colleges. An application blank will be enclosed so that you may use it if you so desire.

(4) Correspondence has been received from the International Chiropractic College, Inc., Dayton, Ohio and from Dr. **McClintock** from California. These two institutions have been written letters inviting them to join with us in the Association. It is believed at the present time that they will avail themselves of this invitation.

1952 (July): ***ICA Review*** [7(1)] includes:

-**photos** of the **CCC/California Chiropractic College** of Oakland and the **LACC** (p. 8)

-"Directory of Chiropractic Schools and colleges" lists:

*__California Chiropractic College__ at 370 43rd St., Oakland; Telephone HU 3-7470; R.O. McClintock, D.C. is President and Dean; Ethel G. Christensen, D.C. is Registrar; enrollment dates are January 2, April 30 and September 1; **CCC** offers a course of "4 years of 9 months" involving 4,480 hours; high school diploma is required for admission; D.C., Ph.C. and M.C. degrees are offered; six full time faculty, 4 part-time instructors (p. 9)

Although the NAACSC was undoubtedly a response to the growing threat of broad-scope chiropractic education posed by Nugent's campaign, the organization publicly and privately (Cleveland, 1952b) denied that its purposes included opposition to the NCA Council on Education. In a letter to Robert O. McClintock, D.C., owner and president of the broad-scope California Chiropractic College in Oakland, NAACSC's first president, Paul O. Parr, D.C., indicated that:

...I should like to personally, as a member, and officially, as President of the new Association, invite you to write me a letter applying to be considered for membership.

I personally assure you that we are not forming for the purpose of combat, debate or argument with any other organization, but only to further those points upon which we agree for the mutual advancement of our profession and our institutions, and urge you to join us in these laudable endeavors.

I feel quite sure that if you submit a letter of request to be considered a member, we can circulate the request among the members and obtain full consent of membership. I am sure it will enthuse those of us who are already members to have you join us and I feel quite sure that your counsel and advice would be of great aid to us. It is altogether possible that this strength, prestige and support can be of considerable moral and material aid to us (Parr, 1952b).

McClintock's response was affirmative (Cleveland, 1952b). His California Chiropractic College was one of several schools outside the orbits of NCA and ICA who were interested in a school organization established by school leaders (e.g., Parr, 1952c).

1952: according to an LACC memo from R.B. Jackson to E. Maylon Drake (12 March 1982):

Dr. Hesse took over the College in 1952, when he purchased the charter from Robert O. McClintock. I was on the faculty at that time and stayed until 1954. The College closed in 1955, the students transferred to LACC or to the Hollywood College School of Chiropractic, graduating in 1955, the same class as Dr. Savage.

Dr. Hesse moved from Oakland to Orangeville, California, where in 1963 he wrote these two letters to Dr. Haynes, with the Haynes reply. I therefore assume that the Charter and Corporation papers for that College are now in our custody? When I was checking with the Corporation Office of the Secretary of State's Office recently about the Hollywood College, I asked them to send me a copy of the California Chiropractic College charter-corporation papers also. What they sent back to me was the corporation papers bearing yours and Matthew's name for the California Chiropractic College, but I don't think these later corporation papers are for the original CCC charter.

In the Hesse letter of June 10, 1963, the Dr. Miller is Ray J. of Watt Ave., Sacramento, a CCC student who graduated in 1955 from the Hollywood College, Dr. Lester is Jesse C. another CCC student who graduated from HCC in 1957, and Dr. Haynes wrote on August 19, 1963 the records were received. Does Matthew have them?

1954 (Apr 22): CCEF Board Minutes #78a:

-Dr. Jack Borland (DC?), member of CCEF board, recommends purchase of California Chiropractic College in Oakland

-McClintock wants to sell CCC for $6,500K in installments; Haynes sent to Oakland to survey equipment, assets, debts, etc.

-CCEF board is frustrated that BCE has not required 2-yr pre-professional college requirement

1963 (Oct): ***Chirogram*** [30(8)] notes:

-(p. 17):

CALIFORNIA CHIROPRACTIC COLLEGE
AMALGAMATES WITH L.A.C.C.

The Los Angeles College of Chiropractic proudly welcomes the graduates of the California Chiropractic College into its fold. The amalgamation of the C.C.C. student records with those of the L.A.C.C. took place on August 3, 1963.

Through the gracious cooperation of Dr. G. Stanley Hesse the drream of amalgamating of graduates of the different California schools under one protective roof has taken another step towards realization.

California Chiropractic College President Hesse, with a view to strengthen the educational development of our profession and desirous of protecting the graduates of his college, has transferred all his students' records to the L.A.C.C. "for that college to act as a permanent reposiory of records for the students of the Chiropractic that graduated or attended the California Chiropractic College." He also wishes that C.C.C. graduates be considered as part of the L.A.C.C. alumni. The college is more than glad to accede to this request.

The L.A.C.C. re-assures the graduates of the C.C.C. of the fulfillment of all its obligations assumed by the amalgamation, including the certification of credits earned.

This amalgamation following that with the Hollywood College of Chiropractic, took place last November fifth. It is powerful evidence of the rapidly developing solidarity of Chiropractic in California. A great debt of gratitude is owed to Dr. Helen Sanders and Dr. Robert Gray of the former Hollywood College for their unselfish action in supporting Chiropractic educational progress and forcibly giving it added impetus.

PHOTOGRAPH

Robert B. Jackson, D.C., N.D., circa 1968

1969 (Apr): ***JCaCA*** [25(9)] includes:
-cover photograph:

CALIFORNIA GOVERNOR RONALD REAGAN signs into law State Senate Bill 1017 which, in effect, demands inclusion of coverage for chiropractic care under all health care insurance policies written in the state. Standig behind the governor are, from left, Gordon H. Garland, legislative advocate for the California Chiropractic Association; Dr. Robert E. Jackson, of Concord, chairman of the CCA Legislative Department; and Senator Randolph Collier, who carried the bill through the legislature.

1979 (Nov 30): letter on FCLB stationery from Jerome Auerbach DC, FCLB VP & chairman of FCLB Clinical Competency Committee, to Robert B. Jackson, D.C., N.D. (FCLB Archives):

Dear Bob:

Hopefully the following will clear the air. Specifically I asked that you give a presentation on the pre-clinic examining and/or testing procedures of prospective student clinicians or interns.

I asked that you submit to me a syllabus relative to your presentation. You may choose any area within the framework of L.A.C.C.'s testing procedures and I would ask that you give a practical presentation/demonstration.

For example last year the representative from National College discussed the sue of and demonstration of the otoscope, ophthalmoscope, and E.E.N.T. examination. The representative from Logan discussed physiotherapy and laboratory procedures.

I must make it clear that the Federation is not in any position to subsidize travel or lodging expenses. Any expenses incurred will be borne by yourself or L.A.C.C.

Very truly yours,…

JA/adg

cc: Frank Hideg, D.C.; Cynthia Preiss, D.C.

References:

Jackson, Robert B. The Weltmer Institute: magnetic healing and suggestive therapeutics. *Chiropractic Technique* 1997 (May); 9(2): 73-9

Chronology of **Robert B. Jackson, D.C., N.D.**

word count: 2,505
filename: Jackson CHRONO 98/06/06

Joseph C. Keating, Jr., Ph.D.
1350 W. Lambert Rd., Apt. 110, La Habra CA 90631 USA
(562) 690-6499; E-mail: JCKeating@aol.com
Messages at LACC: (562) 947-8755, ext. 633

Color Code:
Red & Magenta: questionable or uncertain information
Green: for emphasis

Table: Faculty and administrators of the California Chiropractic College of Oakland during 1949-50

Faculty Member	Alma Mater	Administrative and Instructional Duties
Robert O. McClintock, D.C., Ph.C., M.C., D.S.C.	National College of Chiropractic, 1926	President; instructor in chiropractic theory and practice, neurology, osteology, pathology, diagnosis
Carl F. Schumacher, D.C., N.D.	California Chiropractic College, 1945	Instructor in physical diagnosis, pathology, laboratory diagnosis
Alton E. Glazier, D.C., N.D.	California Chiropractic College, 1942	Clinic master; instructor in physiotherapy, x-ray
H. Arvis Talley, D.C.	National College of Chiropractic, 1942	Instructor in chiropractic theory and practice, psychiatry
Glen W. Stoops, D.C.	California Chiropractic College, 1950	Instructor in anatomy
W. Franklin Morris, D.C., Ph.D.	Berkeley Chiropractic College, 1925	Instructor in physiotherapy, syphilology, urology, nutritional therapy
Mary J. Reed, B.S.	student instructor	Student instructor in inorganic and organic chemistry, biochemistry
Robert B. Jackson	student instructor	Student instructor in anatomy, neurology, physiology, hygiene, sanitation

1949 (Aug): ICA's ***International Review of Chiropractic*** [4(2)] notes:
-R.O. McClintock, dean of the **California Chiropractic College** (**CCC**) is "well known in California as a cerebrologist and neurologist" and that "dissection will be added next semester to implement the anatomy course" at **CCC** (p. 34)

1950 (Mar 25-26): Lee H. Norcross, dean of the LACC graduate school, reports on visit to SFCC and CCC (Report, 1950):
...Dr. Stump and I visited the San Francisco College and the California College at Oakland.

We were cordially received by Dr. McClintock and Mrs. Russell and there was much of interest to report. May I report particularly as concerns the Graduate School. Dr. McClintock spoke with much enthusiasm of our Graduate School and its program including having one Statewide educational institution. He declared that classes should be held in a College not a hotel and then offered his facilities emphasizing no charge or commitment.

In San Francisco Mrs. Russell in a more quiet way made the same offer “The Graduate School is welcome to our classroom and clinic facilities.” Mrs. Russell especially offered one of her anatomical specimens and the use of the dissection room for our Graduate School use.

May I make clear that both Dr. McClintock and Mrs. Russell voluntarily and unsolicited made the above offers and comments on our Graduate School as both Dr. Stump and I acting as your representatives looked and listened, and therefore made no reply other than a courteous acknowledgement.

At the Coordinating Council Sunday afternoon we were asked “have we explore the advantages of holding Graduate School classes in the two northern Colleges?” My answer on the floor was “That was on Dr. Stump’s and the speaker’s agenda.” Sunday evening in the main floor (library) of the Leamington I listened to many discussions regarding holding classes in the colleges. It seemed to be known to everyone excepting (us) that College facilities would be offered at this time. We could hold X-ray, Psychiatry, Iridiagnosis and General lectures at McClintock’s, his clinical space is very small, inadequate for any teaching class. Patients would be required to walk three flights, no elevator service Saturday afternoon or Sunday. At San Francisco, clinic space adequate, dissection room facilities would permit a Graduate level class. Elevator service available as the College occupies the entire building.

Among conversations I listened to regarding Bay are extension classes I feel certain that holding the classes at the colleges would stimulate class attendance and would afford facilities for actual clinical demonstration and practical work. It is my belief that it would add favorably on future professional relationship. Reflecting a remark between Drs. Coquet and Hightower that classes suitable to the best available facilities be held at either the San Francisco College or the Oakland College. Further qualifying that this would allay professional political factionalism.

1950 (Oct): ***Journal of the NCA*** [20(10)] includes:
-article by Dr. Carl F. Schumacher of the **California Chiropractic College** in Oakland, entitled "Interpretation of Malignancy in Light of the Unitarian or Trophoblastic Thesis" (p. 11-13, 60, 62)

1950 (Dec 20): **Robert Boyd Jackson** receives DC degree from **CCC** of Oakland; **RO McClintock** DC, DSC is president and dean, Ethel G. Christensen DC is secretary, Alton E. Glazier DC is "Chief of Clinic" (Jackson's DC and internship diplomas)

Minutes of the annual meeting of the NCA Council on Education, Detroit, July 22-27, 1951

h. Dr. Percy also stated that it was the intent of the C.C.A. to sponsor two campuses for the Los Angeles College of Chiropractic. One in the present Glendale site and another in Oakland, namely the McClintock college. Dr. Percy advised the group that the Oakland College had obtained new quarters of much more spacious and qualified nature and that definite concise steps had been initiated to convert this college into the northern campus of the L.A. College and to put it on a corporate rather than privately owned basis.

1952 (Mar 7): letter from Paul O. Parr, D.C., President of the **NAACSC** to R.O. McClintock, D.C., President of the **California Chiropractic College** at 370 43rd St, Oakland 9, California (Cleveland papers, CCC/KC):

Dear Dr. McClintock:

I had upon my return correspondence from you dated February 29th. I am very glad to hear from you regarding your favorable sentiments toward our deliberations.

You will no doubt be happy to hear that we formed the North American Association of Chiropractic Schools and Colleges, the press release for which is herewith enclosed for your information. I should like to personally, as a member, and officially, as President of the new Association, invite you to write me a letter applying to be considered for membership.

I personally assure you that we are not forming for the purpose of combat, debate or argument with any other organization, but only to further those points upon which we agree for the mutual advancement of our profession and our institutions, and urge you to join us in these laudable endeavors.

I feel quite sure that if you submit a letter of request to be considered a member, we can circulate the request among the members and obtain full consent of membership. I am sure it will enthuse those of us who are already members to have you join us and I feel quite sure that your counsel and advice would be of great aid to us. It is altogether possible that this strength, prestige and support can be of considerable moral and material aid to us.

Sorry to hear of the illness of your Secretary and more deeply sorry that your institution could not be represented at the conference. There were other institutions that were similarly prevented from attending that we have hopes will associate themselves as I am inviting you to do. Sincerely,...

1952 (Apr 1): letter on Carver College stationery from Paul O. Parr, D.C., president, at 521 Northwest Ninth St., Oklahoma City (Cleveland papers, CCC/KC, attached to Carl Jr.'s letter to Vinton Logan dated 6/20/52):

TO ALL MEMBERS OF THE NORTH AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF CHIROPRACTIC SCHOOLS AND COLLEGES:

I would like to draw your attention to the following items:

(1) I have not heard from most of you regarding the date I suggested in my last letter for the next meeting. This should be attended to as soon as possible so the date can be set and the reservations made at the hotel.

(2) The North American Assocaition of Chiropractic Schools and Colleges has been chartered under the laws of Oklahoma as a non-profit corporation for educational and scientific purposes. Work is going forward int he office of the Secretary to have copyright processes on the name.

(3) I have had brought to my attention by one of the member schools an application blank for listing in the College Blue JBook. It is suggested by this school that you note that the International Chiropractors Association and the North American Association of Chiropractic Schools and Colleges have not been listed in the key to abbreviations to be used. It has been suggested that an asterisk be put in this column on the form and that other appropriate material be substituted at the bottom. Example: International Chiropractors Association and/or member of North American Association of Chiropractic Schools and Colleges. It is suggested that a listing in the Blue Book would be of some prestige and will no doubt serve to introduce to them the fact that we have a North American Association of Chiropractic Schools and Colleges. An application blank will be enclosed so that you may use it if you so desire.

(4) Correspondence has been received from the International Chiropractic College, Inc., Dayton, Ohio and from Dr. **McClintock** from California. These two institutions have been written letters inviting them to join with us in the Association. It is believed at the present time that they will avail themselves of this invitation.

1952 (July): ***ICA Review*** [7(1)] includes:

-**photos** of the **CCC/California Chiropractic College** of Oakland and the **LACC** (p. 8)

-"Directory of Chiropractic Schools and colleges" lists:

*__California Chiropractic College__ at 370 43rd St., Oakland; Telephone HU 3-7470; R.O. McClintock, D.C. is President and Dean; Ethel G. Christensen, D.C. is Registrar; enrollment dates are January 2, April 30 and September 1; **CCC** offers a course of "4 years of 9 months" involving 4,480 hours; high school diploma is required for admission; D.C., Ph.C. and M.C. degrees are offered; six full time faculty, 4 part-time instructors (p. 9)

Although the NAACSC was undoubtedly a response to the growing threat of broad-scope chiropractic education posed by Nugent's campaign, the organization publicly and privately (Cleveland, 1952b) denied that its purposes included opposition to the NCA Council on Education. In a letter to Robert O. McClintock, D.C., owner and president of the broad-scope California Chiropractic College in Oakland, NAACSC's first president, Paul O. Parr, D.C., indicated that:

> ...I should like to personally, as a member, and officially, as President of the new Association, invite you to write me a letter applying to be considered for membership.
>
> I personally assure you that we are not forming for the purpose of combat, debate or argument with any other organization, but only to further those points upon which we agree for the mutual advancement of our profession and our institutions, and urge you to join us in these laudable endeavors.
>
> I feel quite sure that if you submit a letter of request to be considered a member, we can circulate the request among the members and obtain full consent of membership. I am sure it will enthuse those of us who are already members to have you join us and I feel quite sure that your counsel and advice would be of great aid to us. It is altogether possible that this strength, prestige and support can be of considerable moral and material aid to us (Parr, 1952b).

McClintock's response was affirmative (Cleveland, 1952b). His California Chiropractic College was one of several schools outside the orbits of NCA and ICA who were interested in a school organization established by school leaders (e.g., Parr, 1952c).

1952: according to an LACC memo from R.B. Jackson to E. Maylon Drake (12 March 1982):

Dr. Hesse took over the College in 1952, when he purchased the charter from Robert O. McClintock. I was on the faculty at that time and stayed until 1954. The College closed in 1955, the students transferred to LACC or to the Hollywood College School of Chiropractic, graduating in 1955, the same class as Dr. Savage.

Dr. Hesse moved from Oakland to Orangeville, California, where in 1963 he wrote these two letters to Dr. Haynes, with the Haynes reply. I therefore assume that the Charter and Corporation papers for that College are now in our custody? When I was checking with the Corporation Office of the Secretary of State's Office recently about the Hollywood College, I asked them to send me a copy of the California Chiropractic College charter-corporation papers also. What they sent back to me was the corporation papers bearing yours and Matthew's name for the California Chiropractic College, but I don't think these later corporation papers are for the original CCC charter.

In the Hesse letter of June 10, 1963, the Dr. Miller is Ray J. of Watt Ave., Sacramento, a CCC student who graduated in 1955 from the Hollywood College, Dr. Lester is Jesse C. another CCC student who graduated from HCC in 1957, and Dr. Haynes wrote on August 19, 1963 the records were received. Does Matthew have them?

1954 (Apr 22): CCEF Board Minutes #78a:
-Dr. Jack Borland (DC?), member of CCEF board, recommends purchase of California Chiropractic College in Oakland
-McClintock wants to sell CCC for $6,500K in installments; Haynes sent to Oakland to survey equipment, assets, debts, etc.
-CCEF board is frustrated that BCE has not required 2-yr pre-professional college requirement

1963 (Oct): ***Chirogram*** [30(8)] notes:
-(p. 17):

CALIFORNIA CHIROPRACTIC COLLEGE
AMALGAMATES WITH L.A.C.C.

The Los Angeles College of Chiropractic proudly welcomes the graduates of the California Chiropractic College into its fold. The amalgamation of the C.C.C. student records with those of the L.A.C.C. took place on August 3, 1963.

Through the gracious cooperation of Dr. G. Stanley Hesse the drream of amalgamating of graduates of the different California schools under one protective roof has taken another step towards realization.

California Chiropractic College President Hesse, with a view to strengthen the educational development of our profession and desirous of protecting the graduates of his college, has transferred all his students' records to the L.A.C.C. "for that college to act as a permanent reposiory of records for the students of the Chiropractic that graduated or attended the California Chiropractic College." He also wishes that C.C.C. graduates be considered as part of the L.A.C.C. alumni. The college is more than glad to accede to this request.

The L.A.C.C. re-assures the graduates of the C.C.C. of the fulfillment of all its obligations assumed by the amalgamation, including the certification of credits earned.

This amalgamation following that with the Hollywood College of Chiropractic, took place last November fifth. It is powerful evidence of the rapidly developing solidarity of Chiropractic in California. A great debt of gratitude is owed to Dr. Helen Sanders and Dr. Robert Gray of the former Hollywood College for their unselfish action in supporting Chiropractic educational progress and forcibly giving it added impetus.

Robert B. Jackson, D.C., N.D., circa 1968

1969 (Apr): ***JCaCA*** [25(9)] includes:
-cover photograph:

CALIFORNIA GOVERNOR RONALD REAGAN signs into law State Senate Bill 1017 which, in effect, demands inclusion of coverage for chiropractic care under all health care insurance policies written in the state. Standig behind the governor are, from left, Gordon H. Garland, legislative advocate for the California Chiropractic Association; Dr. Robert E. Jackson, of Concord, chairman of the CCA Legislative Department; and Senator Randolph Collier, who carried the bill through the legislature.

-

Joseph C. Keating, Jr., Ph.D.

Preparation of the data base was made possible in part by the financial support of the
National Institute of Chiropractic Research
2950 North Seventh Street, Suite 200, Phoenix AZ 85014 USA
(602) 224-0296; www.nicr.org

1350 W. Lambert Road, Apt. 110, La Habra CA 90631 USA
(562) 690-6499

filename: Jackson RB 98/04/11
word count: ??

Events in the Life of

ROBERT B. JACKSON, D.C., N.D.

Color Code: **Green**: for emphasis **Red** & **Magenta**: questionable or uncertain information

---

Jackson, Robert B., DC (&Ruby) (1950, CCC/Oakland); Rt. 1, Box 411, A-5, Jones OK 73049 (405-399-5051; e-mail: RBJaxon@aol.com)

Quotes:

"...California - long a battleground for scope of practice test cases by advocates of both camps..." (Gibbons, 1982)

Chronology

1900 (Feb 24): **Gordon M. Goodfellow** born in Valcartier Village, Quebec (Rehm, 1980; In Memoriam, 1975)

Sourceworks/References

Dzaman F, Scheiner S, Schwartz L (Eds.): *Who's Who in Chiropractic, International*. Second Edition. 1980, Who's Who in Chiropractic International Publishing Co., Littleton CO

Ferguson A, Wiese G. How many chiropractic schools? An analysis of institutions that offered the D.C. degree. *Chiropractic History* 1988a (July); 8(1): 26-31

Ferguson A, Wiese G. Chiropractic schools of record, 1897-1985. *Chiropractic History* 1988b (July); 8(1): 32-6

Gibbons RW. Forgotten parameters of general practice: the chiropractic obstetrician. *Chiropractic History* 1982; 2:26-33

Gibbons RW. Chiropractors as interns, residents and staff: the hospital experience. *Chiropractic History* 1983; 3:50-

Gruber B. LACC hall of honor. *LACC News & Alumni Report* 1983 (Fall); 6(3):6-7

LACC's red-letter dates. *LACC News & Alumni Report, Diamond Jubilee Issue* 1986; 9(3):21-5

Martin RJ. The LACC story: fifty years of chiropractic. Presentation prepared for the LACC Alumni meeting, October, 1986 (unpublished)

Schierholz AM. The Foundation for Chiropractic Education & Research: a history. 1986 (Jan), The Foundation, Arlington VA (unpublished?)

POTENTIAL ALUMNI SOURCES

J. Gordon Anderson, DC (1946, LACC); 18605 Sunburst St, Northridge CA 91324 (818-349-5418

Dishman, Robt W, MA, DC (DO); 34898 Tioga, Thousand Palms CA 92276 (619-343-4205; W: 619-320-6449, FAX: 619-325-4089)

Beth Gruber, DC (1964); 760 Redondo Ave, Long Beach CA 90804 (213-433-1222)

B. Franklyn Miner DC (1944); Box 1239, S. Lake Tahoe CA 95705 (916-544-7700)

Leonard J. Savage, DC, DABCO; residence: 12603 Moorpark St, Studio City CA 91604 [letter sent]

Franklin Schoenholtz, DC (1962); 160 E. Sycamore, Arcadia CA 91006

Carl Schmidt, DC (1935); 26 Broderick St., San Francisco CA 94117 (415-954-1426)

1993 (Feb 25): letter from Robert B. Jackson DC to J. Keating (in my Jackson correspondence file):

Dear Joe:

Your call last PM was definitely ESP, else why would I all of a sudden go looking for the five pages from the University of Oklahoma Law Library on the England case? I thought I had copies these pages and sent to you at PSC-W? Looking at my notes on that project, I find there are over 450 pages/.15 per pg., plus a $5.00 search fee for the case, which would bring the project up to about $67.50+, plus postage to me, to you for the entire trial transcript.

My dear old friend Dr. Paul J. Adams, 114 Sunny Lane, Lafayette, LA (318) 984-5165 was President of the State Assn. for many years down there. The Louisiana Chiropractic struggle is quite some story, waiting to be retold to today's DC's. (May 1924-June 1974). Dr. Paul has been on the scene since the 1940's to date. In 1974 there were only 160 DC's in State; over 100 had been arrested, over 25 jailed for Px. Med. w/o a Lic. Even 2 were jailed for over a month after the Law, for arrests prior to the Law. Dr. Joe Sabatiet from LA, a member of AMA's Com. on Quackery saw to it that the screws were really put on and held, to prevent the DC's from having their Law.

At the trial, Dr. J.J. was raked over the coals so badly by the Med. attorney's over the issue that no DC college had any accreditation status with USOE or any Regional Accred. agencies, that our education was therefore in fact inferior to Medical schools and that we were all a bunch of uneducated so-and-so's. J.J. was so stimulated by his handling, he became the driving force, along with Geo. Haynes of LACC, to get an Accred. Agency for the Prof., and we know what happened. J.J. then went for Reg. Accred. and I believe was first to receive this type of status. We in leadership in 1975 when CCE was approved by the USOE, the divisiveness over DC philosophy would crumble and that research would flourish to up-grade the Prof. within the eyues of the other members of the Health Care Community. We were wrong, some of saw that the old ICA schools and allegiances were still being held on to, that our position within the health community was a sham, and we thought no one else knew it. WRONG! I think we are being discovered and it is going to be used against us, bad! We could lose out in Medicare and thus any new health care system that may arise. We are not doing enough basic research to validate that which we do in all facets of our clinical approach.

I preached in 1951-52, than now (then) was the time for us to get into meaningful research to validate our position. From the audience came remarks like - "We can't do that, what if we find our premise is not correct, No, we can not take that chance." I came back and said, "Well, then we modify our Premise to fit the scientific evidence!" Everybody cringed, and we stayed status quo. I'd rather stand on the basis of some scientific validity, than none at all. That goes for all the modalities we use, both in physical medicine and nutrition, or what ever. Problem is, as a Prof. we have become as 'fat-cats' from all that has been given to us by our Legislative successes, that we don't want to be bothered to fight for a correct position. My fear is, the shoe will drop and funding will only go to those in clinical medicine who have validated their therapies, because the Gov./Ins. Co.'s will not pay for un-sound therapies, as they are too costly. That would really give our group the wake-up call they need! But then to try and get back into the family of legit health care, would be very long and laborious in each State Legislature and the Gov., WOW!! We'd better clean up our act, now - than have someone else do it for us, we would not like that! Down Robert, down boy.

Item 2 that we talked about, - our Disassociation from the Mono-Causal-Treatment Concepts in the Disease Processes.

I've enclosed 3 pages from my 1990 ACA Membership Directory, D-1 ACA Policies of Public Health etc., D-3 hi-lited area. Let me give you a little background here. As you see on D-3 ACA Disassociated itself from Cultism in 1965. Problem, ACA never sent this to AMA to inform them of our position, ICA would never make such a statement, so our House was divided again, still.

I was elected to the ACA Board of Governors in June 1972, was Chairman 1973-74. In 1974-74 Dr. Bill Bromley of N.J. was elected President, Dr. Bill Dallas Vice-Pres. and I became the third member of ACA's Executive Committee. The three of us attended the NINDS Workshop on the - Research Status of Spinal Manipulative Therapy, at Bethesda, MD, Feb. 2-4, 1975. I don't recall which evening it was, but all 3 of us were in the Social Bar after the last Session for that day. We came across H. Thomas Ballatine, M.D., an eminent Neurosurgeon in Boston and at Harvard, he had been on the AMA Quackery Committee from its inception, along with Dr. Joe Sebatiet [sic; Sabatier], the third I've forgotten. Any way the three of us engaged Dr. Ballantine in conversation and one thing led to another. We told him of ACA's position against Cultism and he was unaware. He did say, that if we, the ACA could give him, in writing, that we have disassociated from the mono-causal, mono-treatment of disease, the battle between AMA and Chiropractic would be over.

At our Annual June Meeting, I wrote, introduced the Resolution into the House of Delegates, it passed. In the Post-Convention Meeting of the Board, we asked that this passed Resolution be sent to AMA with a cover letter explaining what it means etc. etc. Just before I left ACA Leg. Com. in 1988 after 20 years, I asked if AMA had ever responded back to us, as I went off the Board in June 1979, and had never heard a response? All documents of that era are packed, uncatalogued, so today I can not tell you that AMA was ever appraised of our action. I do recall, ICA would not pass a similar Resolution, so our House was divided still, as it is today, over "B.J.'s Chiro. philosophy' Our friend in Georgia thinks he has been and will be the mouth-piece for such philosophy to his end. He fails to realize what his stubbornness has and will do the the entire House. I'm also enclosing a copy of my Program Feb. 2-4, 1975, with a couple of personal remarks * on the last couple of pages.

Double check the above with Dr. Dallas, he may have more to add.

As you can see with my past education, experiences and leadership positions held in Calif. CCA and ACA, I'm one of those 'diagnostic' DC's v. 'non-diagnostic' types we used to call 'straight's'. My history writing is also slanted in this direction, hope my bias does not show thru too badly?? Russ I feel is aligned w/the 'straight' or what I call 'non-diagnostic' type of DC, therefore he may wish to edit out some of my remarks? So, I think I'll send to JMPT the paper I'll soon have all the data on, State of Iowa v. D.C. Palmer: Practicing medicine, surgery and obstetrics without a certificate. I plan another on People v. T.F. Ratledge: Practicing medicine without a license, which I may also sent to JMPT.

In the meantime, I have a couple of Family History trips to make, one in May-June, the other Sept-Oct. The later will take me up into Ontario & Quebec where 3 of my 4 grandparents came from, so I will not be at WSCC, sorry.

-Bob

From The Keyboard Of -

Dr. Robert B. Jackson
Rt. 1, Box 411, A-5
Jones, OK 73049

401 · 599 5051

September 13, 1994

Dr. Brian A. Smith
3685 Motor Ave., Suite 250
Los Angeles, CA 90034

Dear Doctor Brian:

So nice to hear from your again. I'll try to answer your questions as follows.

1918-1922 years and before. To the best of recorded history, the first DC's came to CA in 1905, a few more soon followed and in 1907 they had introduced into the legislature their first bill for an independent board, which never came out of committee. At each biennial session there after a bill was introduced, each suffering the same fate. I have no record as to when the first DC was arrested for Px med. w/o a lic. But by 1911, with several DC schools in state producing more DC's, I think it about here that the first arrested were made in our group for Px'g med. w/o a lic.

At this same time you must understand other dynamics in the health field that was going on. CA's first MPA was enacted in 1876, Ariz. Terr. was first in 1873, Nevada 2nd in 1875, the CA in 1876. In that Act were three Sections dealing with the Px of med and the penalty for those Px'g without a lic. They stated that any -

> Itinerant vender who shall, sell any drug, nostrum, ointment, or appliance for the treatment of disease or injury of any kind by medicine, drug, nostrum, manipulation (emphasis added) shall pay a lic. of $100/mo.

Then in 1877-78 the medics amended their act by adding three types of recognized MD's - those of the Medical Society, Eclectic and Homeopathic Societies. Again in 1901 the Act was amended adding 2 more members, stating the curriculum/exams in subjects, to the guilty penalty for those not lic'd from 60-180 days in jail. In 1907 the MPA was again amended - more members to the BME, 3 forms of certificate issued - 1) P&S, 2) DO, 3) those who practice any other system or mode of treating the sick or afflicted. An expanded penalty Section 13 -

> Any person who shall practice or attempt to practice or advertise or hold himself out as practicing medicine or surgery, osteopathy, or any other system or mode of treating the sick or afflicted, in this state, without having, at the time of so doing, a valid, unrevoked certificate, as provided in this act, shall be guilty

> of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine of not less than $100, nor more than $500, or by imprisonment of not less than 60 nor more than 180 days.

Again in 1909 it was amended to include the ND to be certificated. DP's were included under the 3rd type in 1907. In 1911, the ND was eliminated for recognition, but the DP category was still viable, as the 3 classes of certificate were again spelled out. In 1913 only 2 forms of certificate were recognized - 1) P&S, and 2) DP, which was now the classification given for the DO's and all others, who could Px manipulation and OB but without medicines & surgery of any kind, save severing the umbilical cord. The MD's curriculum was stated at 4,800 hrs; DP's at 2,400. The penalty section was now Sect. 18, still a misdemeanor, but if guilty the fine was from $100-1,000, imprisonment from 10 days to 1 year.

When Dr. Ratledge took over as leader of the 'straights' in 1911, with his school, he became the self appointed legislative leader, who on his own went to Sacramento and pitched his version of what chiropractic was all about and sought authors for his bill, for a separate DC act. Each session he would get a bill, but it would die in committee. T'was here that the BME began to arrest DC's, Dr. Ratledge included in 1913 and all were found guilty of the MPA, which spelled it our rather simply, but no DC ever seemed to read the language, in my opinion.

In 1914 the ND's, DP's and some DC's qualified Prop. 46 to the Ballot for a separate Px. Act, Dr. Ratledge spoke out against it,as did Dr. B.J. Palmer and CMA, it never passed. The in 1915 the MPA was amended again. This time 3 forms of certificate were legal - 1) P&S, 2) DP and 3) for Chiropody with a 664 hr. curriculum. Sect. 18 was their penalty section which remained the same as before. Amended again in 1917 with 4 forms of certificate - 1) P&S, 2) DP (for DO and all others), 3) Chiropody and 4) Midwifery with a curriculum of 415 hrs., they could sever the cord.

With this background, and the 1918 flu epidemic and DC's getting better results than a lot of MD's, there were more DC's arrested because they were treating sickness without a certificate by the BME. The DC's house was divided, 'straight' v. 'mixer', so we were easy to defeat before the legislature. They will never pass a bill to settle an intra-professional dispute, they leave that up to the group to settle, then come back to them in one voice and they will/may act.

There were some 92 DC's so trained that took extra courses in some of our schools during these periods, to qualify and pass the DP exam by the BME and be certificated. Their Px was manipulation and OB, but no vitamins or PT allowed. If they Tx a flu victim, that was OK, but not an unlicensed person, which was the law. Between 1913-1922 there were over 600 DC's arrested for Px of med w/o a lic. for several reasons; MD's do not like to be defaced with their patients going outside the law to be treated and get well when they were not so successful. They felt then, as now, that only they know anything about health, disease and treating the sick/afflicted etc., so the DC was fair game to be arrested, as they had no law.

Many of these over 600 case were bold enough to take their plight to the Appellate court and the court could only uphold the law, thus the trial court and case law was made.

After 1923 when licenses began to be issued, one of these DC-DP's, John Gillespie of San Francisco challenged in Superior Court, the first BCE as being illegal, as their pre-practice as required by then Section 1 of the Act, was as illegal, whereas his Px was legal as a DC-DP. This case was taken by the BCE to the Appellate then State Supreme Court, all which upheld the trial court, thus expunging of first BCE. The second BCE also suffered another writ of quo warranto halting issuing of lic's for another year or so.

The definition you mention as found in an old medical dictionary, in my opinion would be of no impact against all the case law piled up against our DC brothers and the Profession. The only way Px rights can be expanded for the DC, is to amend the Act, and in my opinion, there is not sufficient horse-power in the state to do that. Again, the current 'fundamentalists' would testify against such a proposal before the legislature and that would kill the issue. The last time that was tried in my memory, was Mar. 10, 1981 with AB-868 which would amend Section 7. After 7 amendments to the bill, CCA finally pulled the bill, it was inert. Our BCE can not by Rule increase scope of Px, so I think the CA DC's are stuck with what is on the books.

Because of some of our brethren straying over into the field of medicine and saying this was the new Px of Chiro., they were arrested and the LA DA then in 1965 proposed another amendment to the MPA that would have allowed the BME to arrest any DC w/lic. as Px med w/o a lic. and our lic. would not have been admissible as a defense document and would make the guilty verdict change from a misd. to a felony. This is when I was thrust into the Chair of CCA's Legis. Dept. with Mr. Garland our Advocate. We had this passed bill vetoed at Gov. Pat Brown's desk. We told the LA DA that if he wanted an antiquackery bill, we would have to approve the language. He agreed, we did, and Gov. Reagan signed the amendment to Section 4141 & 2141.5 of the MPA, which gave immunity to any one else licensed under any other provision of law. This language is still within the current MPA This gave us some immunity to the CREES decision that cut us back to almost the - hands only, spine only Px.

As for Gary Cuneo. I never knew from whence he came to be our Asst. Ex. Sect. of our BCE in the '60's. He was very active in the Republican party in Sacro. was appointed to the Reagan Admin. in Wash. D.C., then came back and was selected by a group of followers to be CCA's Ex. Officer. I had a falling out with CCA's leaders, left the CCA and CCA would never support ACA again, they would always tell ACA what to do, because CCA was the largest state Chiro. organization. I thought Gary & I always got along. Who's pulling his strings now, I know not.

Hope this has given you some ideas about your questions.

Hang Tough - Dr. J.

From The Keyboard Of -

Dr. Robert B. Jackson
Rt. 1, Box 411, A-5
Jones, OK 73049

April 2, 1995

Dr. Brian A. Smith
8235 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 216
West Hollywood, CA 90046-5968

Dear Doctor Brian:

Greetings once more from Oklahoma!

Just received a copy of our mutual friend, Joe Keating, Ph.D. at LACC, letter to you of March 27th, along with your letter to him of the 23rd including a list of 116 DP's as of March 3, 1924 from the Board of Medical Examiners, CA.

In your letter of the 23rd, you mention a couple of things that are historically not correct - date of expunging of the first appointed BCE; that in the first quo warranto case against the BCE, the Gov. had to select from the DC-DP list, five persons,who had the 3 years of pre-practice as required by Section 1 of our Act. So, if I may report to you what really happened, from the 4 enclosures with this letter.

#1. CHIROPRACTIC HISTORY Vol. 14, No. 1 - June 1994 - "Quo Warranto": Only in California - Challenging Early Chiropractic Law, by moi and my son, Dr. Tim. This paper gives the background on the construction of the Initiative Act, especially the pre-practice requirement, that expunged our 1st appointed BCE. On pg. 24, as hi-lited, the number 91 was taken from a BCE Annual Report of 1925. Thank you so much for supplying Joe & me with this list of 116 from the BME. (SEE 14_1_CA_QUO_WARRANTO.PDF)

#2. Is a 1923 printed version of the passed Initiative Act of Nov. 7, 1922. I have marked the 3 year pre-practice requirement for 1st appointed BCE members. It was this phrase that caught the eye of George D. Gillespie, 450 Geary Street, San Francisco, since he was a practicing DP since 1915, and therefore met the 3 yr. pre-practice requirement, or so he thought. Gillespie was also a practicing ND and active in that early movement in our State. I can not verify that he held an ND certificate from the BME 1909-1911. On page 4 of the BME list of DP's, is Fannie Sanford, pg. 6 is her husband Joe, who was the 2nd one to present a writ of quo warranto to the 2nd appointed BCE.

#3. Copy of the 1st Annual BCE Report, giving the history of Gillespie's 1st writ of quo warranto, etc. (1923_ANN_RPT.PDF)

(1924_LaBarre.pdf)

#4. Copy of the State Supreme Court Decision of - People v. La Barre - March 18, 1924, which expunged the 1st BCE. As you read this decision, there is nothing in it that demands the 2nd BCE first be DP's. Go back to Section 1 of the 1922 Act, it states that BCE thereafter (the first) need only be licentiates of the BCE. During the period of time the 1st BCE appealed this S.F. Superior Court writ of quo warranto, the BCE did examine and issue some 247 licenses (Quo Warranto paper - p. 25 Hi-Lited). It was from this pool that Gov. Richardson appointed the 2nd BCE.

Subsequent to the printing of my Quo Warranto paper, I have uncovered more data on Kansas, which I made into another paper, to be printed sometime this year in JMPT. In this paper, I show how the Kansas Act was the first to pass as a Legislative Act in 1913; but because Dr. Carver wrote that act too, he included a 2 year pre-practice requirement for 1st appointed BCE. After the Legislature passed the Bill, the Attorney General recommended to the then sitting Gov. not to sign the Bill, because the pre-practice requirement was as unlawful practice. It was not until 1915, that a new Gov. then appointed the 1st sitting BCE for Kansas. Dr. Carver caused 2 goofs; however, in his home State, Oklahoma, he wrote in a 5 year pre-practice requirement for 1st appointed BCE. The Gov. appointed same and within 3 months the 1st BCE met, began their duties, with no legal challenge by the Attorney General or anyone else! I covered this subject in my most recent paper before the History Assn, in a paper on" Dr. Willard Carver: Doctor, Lawyer, Indian Chief, Prisoner, and More." (CHIROPRACTIC HISTORY - Vol. 14, No. 2 - December 1994)

I have another paper pending publication in the Chiropractic Humanities Journal, to be out this Fall, on the California Medical Practice Act;Amended by the then CCA, without Medical Opposition - 1967. This is my story of how Mr. Garland & I killed a pet medical Bill, aimed at destroying the DC's; then writing the language to amend the CA MPA in 1967. This language is still within that Act, different Section numbers today. (5_1_CA_MPA.PDF)

I trust this letter with enclosures has been informative. Should you have any questions, fire away. Thanks again for the DP List.

My Best to Larry Tain should you be talking with him soon. What's happening with the BCE lately?

Sincerely,

Bob

pc- Dr. Joe Keating

From The keyboard Of -

Dr. Robert B. Jackson
Rt. 1, Box 411, A-5
Jones, OK 73049

April 12, 1995

Dr. Brian A. Smith
8235 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 216
West Hollywood, CA 90046

Dear Doctor Brian:

Thank you for your letter of the 6th, with what is happening and pending in CA.

Some of your news makes me very sad, to see what is happening to the work that we did from 1964-1972, beginning to erode; i.e. Rule 302 - Human v. Animal. Perhaps these 'animal DC's' should suggest they do a research project with UC Davis Vet School, for the efficacy of animal spinal adjusting, then the issue could be settled?? As for the PT's & Rule 302 - I enclose AGO 62-68 - March 16, 1962 & AGO CV 75-282 - Jan. 21, 1976. When are the DC's going to use these to go after the PT's for their spinal manipulation? And, I agree with you, the suit they settled demanding that 302 never be amended - I don't think they have that right, as even the CREES decision allowed for expanding the scope of the DC with future education.

As for my dear friend Joel Primes - yes he has a problem with the definitions that have been established by CREES and several AGO's. But to make a better definition, it requires amending Section 7. That was tried in 1981 with AB-868, which was amended 6 times, to where the then CCA withdrew the Bill, as all that we wanted, others took away with their ability to amend our Bill. From where I sit today, CCA still does not have the horse power to control their own legislation, so it would be wrong to now even try.

As for amending the CREES decision - this can only be done by amending Section 7 as cited above, then by a new Rule 302. I don't believe their is that kind of money the DC's are willing to part with and the strength within the Legislature to do this properly. When we defeated the CMA-BME-LA Co. DA's Bill in 1965 to amend the MPA to make it a felony to Px. Med. w/o a Lic. that was aimed at we DC's, and our lic. could not be admitted into court as a defense document; then we wrote the language that amended the MPA Sect. 2141 & 2141.5, without any medical opposition, gave us immunity to the adverse effects of CREES, unless a DC did Px a procedure that was in the medical field. Those words are still in the 1995 version of the MPA. Playing with the CREES decision would be very dangerous. I have a history paper on this story coming out later this year in the NCC Journal of Chiropractic Humanities.

As for Gillespie not being a ND - I submit from the Naturopath - Jan. 1925, p. 16, with his picture showing he was. He was also certificated as a DP. As for the other 2 quo warranto cases against the 2nd BCE - they were brought by Dr. Sanford, also from one of the S.F. DC schools. His lic. was revoked by the 2nd BCE for filing an App. under a fictitious name. But he did cause a stop to slow down of licenses being issued, as they could only be done thru the authority of the Superior Court. The 1st BCE issued their own lic's on their 2nd day of their 1st meeting in Sacramento in 1923, according to Sect. 1, which also contained that pre-practice requirement for 1st appt. BCE, based on Dr. Carver's opinion. 11 States had this same pre-practice requirement, but only in CA did it expunged the 1st BCE, but only after their legal delay, so they could examine and issue 247 lic's in CA; then they were stopped by the 2nd BCE for 2 years until the Court allowed further testing & lic's. So, the 2nd BCE took those 2 yrs. to examine app's and it was here that they found Dr. P. Purviance had made a false statement about his ed. requirements and pulled his lic. He rebutted by his suit against the BCE/James Compton that went to the Appellate Court for affirmation. Can you send me a copy of the two other cases Dr. Purviance sued in Superior Court.

Hurray for Sen. Dills!!! He was a very good friend & close friend to me when I worked the Capitol, and was good to us before that - in 1941 when he wrote us into the Labor Code in Sect. 3209.3; .5; .6 & 4600. The other places you find us, he helped me get into by amending the Labor Code.

As for increasing the hours for C.E. to 24 - and grouping that ed. into the three categories sounds great for specialty recognition, but what about the C.E. for 'Charley-Chiropractor'? He makes the 4th group. Your groupd must see that the BCE remains a 'mixer' type for many years to come, else you'll be back to hands only-spine only! Especially now if CCA has gone that way, because of PCC-West & Life West & Cleveland grads being so numerous and other PCC grads coming in from Iowa. You see, when we received CCE recognition from the USOE we thought the battle over DC philosophy would be over. Surprise - its alive and well and growing on the 'straight' side especially.

I wish you well, as I have my son in Px in Fair Oaks, so I have a keen interest in what is going on. I don't like it, but there is nothing I can do about it.

Sincerely,

From The Keyboard Of -

Dr. Robert B. Jackson
Rt. 1, Box 411, A-5
Jones, OK 73049

April 23, 1995

Dr. Brian A. Smith
8235 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 216
West Hollywood, CA 90046

Dear Doctor Brian:

Many thanks for your letter and legal enclosures of the 17th. They will add to my collection.

As for getting witnesses against the PT's for manipulating the spine: If each DC questioned patients, I would think they would come up with some who have been manipulated by PT's and used could be against the PT's?

As for the AG's Office on both sides if a new CREES case would come; there would be no conflict, as the AGO represents both the BME & the BCE. If some DC's brought action against the BME, what would be the charge? Or, if there were MD's who would bring action against DC's, what would be the charge? The BME can do this now, if a DC does violate the MPA. So how do they (who's they) plan to reverse CREES?

The Chiropractic Defenders League of California was a group of patients & friends of chiropractic who were organized in 1921-22, to speak to lay-groups for chiropractic, and to get the Public to vote for Prop. 16 on the 1922 General Election Ballot. At that time the Pres. was Norman E. Malcolm, former City Attorney for Palo Alto. I don't know when the organization was disbanded, but as you say, they were Respondents in the People v. Steele case in 1935. Do you have a copy of this case, if not would you like a copy, just let me know, I'll send you a copy. I think Norman may have had a brother who was an early DC in S.F.?

As for CCA - Larry Tain need not commit prof. suicide by running for the Pres., if the Board of Directors could out vote him. It is apparent that former ICAC members have now joined CCA & ACA, and are getting into leadership positions - i.e. - Pedigo is now the N. Calif. ACA Del; and now they have CCA. It will be interesting to see how it all comes out? And if you 'mixer' boys can do something to gain recognition over the ICAC group?

What was this 'infectious disease' fracas with Lloyd Friesen all about, that he lost favor?

As for Dr. Percy Purviance - he also ran the Berkeley Chiro. Col.('24) and in 1926 brought charges against the BCE, that went to Superior Crt, for investigating his school, and he felt by the Act the BCE had no authority to do so. He won the case, the BCE then appealed to the Supreme Crt., he won again; BCE then took to the Appellate Crt. and their decision was finally announced in Mar. 26, 1929 affirming the other Crts. But in May 22, 1926 the BCE rescinded Dr. P's lic. for fraud, but he still ran the Berk. Chiro. Col., which in 1928 he changes the name to Golden Gate Chiro. Col., same location in Berkeley. He must have been some guy, with the 2 cases you sent me, plus his actions against the BCE?

Let me know if you would like copies of the cases I've mentioned above.

Hang Tough -



March 16, 1998

Dear Brian:

Apropos of your E-mail and my response, I'm enclosing several items for your file.

1. Vitalism — pgs.113-14 from Otto Juettner's *Daniel Drake and his Followers*, Harvey Publ. Co., Cinn., OH - no date of publ. noted on title page and the Librarian that copies this book did not include the next page that would have given a date. This looks like something DD would have an interest in, Storey also from what you tell me. What the heck, a little more *quackery* to throw in with DD's magentic healing might give him an edge? This is all I have in my library on this subject.

   In 1887, Burlington, IA City Directory DD lists himself as a Vital Healer as he began his first go at Magnetic Healing. In Davenport he listed himself as Dr. Palmer for the first time, as a magnetic, and from then on. What gaul, just usurped the title, and that was OK?? He was always wondering why the BME was after him for Px med. w/o a certif.!!

2. Santa Barbara City Directory 1903-04 - back side. In 1904 Nov-Dec he returned to Davenport where he began a separate Sanitarium from BJ's school sanitarium.

3. *Retrospective history of California's healing arts: 1848-1970* in Chiropractic Technique Journal 9:4 Nov. 1997. For your eyes only as this is copyrighted and not for duplication. On p. 172 the Publisher over-corrected what I had "Central" and put in "Western" Pacific R.R. (SEE CHIRO_TECH 9_4_CA_1A.PDF)

Thanks for sending me a copy of Storey's letter to DD on18 Nov. 1903. As far as I know from my research, DD was in So.CA from Aug. 1902 in Pasadena to Santa Barbara in late 1904. The picture I told you about in DD's book, is crazy I think, not likely that BJ railed to SB to be pictured with the others in Dec. 1902?? No evidence to that effect. Was DD suffering from his chronic *brain fever* when he had this picture included in his book in 1910??

Hope these will help.

DJ